## RCRA UST Case Conclusion Data Sheet

Phone # 8293
Phone # 2723
Zip: 99669

ACTION TYPE:						
	Consent Decree or court order resolving a					
	civil judicial action					
	Administrative Penalty Order					
$\boxtimes$	(with/without injunctive relief)					
	Administrative Compliance Orders					
	Notice of Determination					
	Date of Self Disclosure Letter:					

Date Complaint/Order Issued:
Date Final Penalty Order Issued:
For combined CAFO-complaints, use the date the
RA signs the order as the date of the complaint and
the date of the final order.

Penalty to be Paid: \$ 10,390

**CASE SUMMARY**: THIS WILL BE POSTED IN ECHO FOR PUBLIC. Enter the text from the press release or OECA Weekly write-up in the text box below.

On November 18, 2014, Region 10 filed an Expedited Settlement Agreement and Final Order (ESA) with Mark Rozak, the owner and operator of underground storage tanks (USTs) at Soldotna Y Chevron, in Soldotna, AK. The ESA requires Soldotna Y Chevron to pay a \$10,390 penalty for 4 violations, including failure to provide release detection for tanks and piping and failure to equip pressurized piping with an automatic line leak detector. Soldotna Y Chevron is also required to submit documentation of release detection for the five tanks for July-November, 2014

## Law/section violated:

Section 9006 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 6991e.

## Violation type:

Failure to provide release detection for tanks and piping and failure to equip pressurized piping with an automatic line leak detector.

SEP Description	n						
NA	1110						
SEP Penalty As	ssessment Value	e: \$ NA					
SEP - As	ssessments and	Audits			SEP - Pollution Prevention/In-Process Recycli		
					SEP - Pollution Prevention/Process-Procedure		
SEP - Er	SEP - Emergency Planning and Preparedness			Modification			
					SEP - Pollution Prevention/Product		
SEP - E1	nvironmental C	ompliance l	Promotion		Reformulation/Redesign		
	F-W1.7				SEP - Pollution Prevention/Product		
SEP - O	ther Program S <sub>l</sub>	pecific SEP			Reformulation/Redesign		
SEP - Po	ollution Prevent	ion/Energy			SEP - Pollution Prevention/Raw Materials		
Efficience	cy-Conservation	1			Substitution		
SEP - Po	ollution Prevent	ion/Equipn	nent-				
	ogy Modification				SEP - Pollution Reduction		
	ollution Prevent						
Houseke	eeping/O&M, T	raining, Inv	entory				
Control					SEP - Public Health		
SEP PROJECT	Model Value:	\$					
Pollutant	Amount	Unit	Media	NE	$\overline{EI}$		
	-				1		
					<u> </u>		
					<u> </u>		
MODEL					<del></del>		
	(	COMPLYI	NG ACTIO	NS/IN	NJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
***Please do not	select more than	one action	if there is on	ly one j	pollutant. According to the FY12 CCDS guidance,		
unique pollutant	amount is to be	entered in I	CIS in associ	ation w	with one complying action. The most immediate act		
taken to address i	the pollutant sho	uld be repoi	rted.				
			~				
<u>REMOVAL AP</u>	ND RESTORA	TION (ber	iefits derive	d from	n a complying action that results in a pollutant,		
		e, already i	n the envir	onmen	nt, being eliminated or treated to a level required		
the enforcement	action.						
Pollutant	Amount	Unit	Media	NE	EI La situ Trantuscut		
					In-situ Treatment		
					Ex-situ Treatment		
					Removal of Contaminated Media		
					Removal of Released Pollutants		

Cost of Complying Action:

**REDUCTION OF ON-GOING RELEASES**: (Benefits derived from a complying action that reduces or eliminates an on-going discharge, emission or release of pollutant(s) into the environment.) Pollutant Amount Unit Media NEI Reduction - Tank Repair Reduction - Tank Removal Reduction - Tank Storage Change Cost of Complying Action: **PREVENTION OF FUTURE RELEASES:** Benefits derived from a complying action that reduces or eliminates the potential for a future discharge, emission or release of pollutant(s) not already in the environment Prevention - Cathodic Protection System Prevention - Implement Tank Overfill/Spill Maintenance/Repair Protection Prevention - Implement Corrosion Protection System Prevention - Secondary Containment (UST) Prevention - Implement Release Detection  $\boxtimes$ System (UST) Prevention - Tank Closure Pollutant Amount Unit Media **NEI** gasoline 16,000 gal land diesel 7,500 gal land used oil 1,000 gal land Cost of Complying Action: 2,192.60 WORK PRACTICES Work Practices - Asbestos Inspections Work Practices - Monitoring Work Practices - Auditing Work Practices - Notification Work Practices - Cease Activity Work Practices - Permitting Work Practices - Certification and Accreditation Work Practices - Planning Work Practices - Environmental Management Review Work Practices - Product Registration Work Practices - Financial Responsibility Requirements Work Practices - Provide Site Access Work Practices - Hazardous Waste Identification XWork Practices - Record-keeping Work Practices - Information Letter Response Work Practices - Reporting

Work Practices - Testing/Sampling

Work Practices - Work Practices

Work Practices - Training

Cost of Complying Action: included above

Work Practices - Manifesting

Work Practices - Institutional Controls

Work Practices - Labeling - Identification

Work Practices - Labeling - Material Management

Under the terms of the revised EJ Technical Directive (r	eissued	$\frac{1}{4}$ April $8, \frac{1}{2}$	2013) the following information applies to							
EPA civil regulatory and Superfund enforcement cases 'exemptions under EJ.	'initiate	ed'' starting	g April 1, 2013. There are no RCRA							
•										
EJ Table 1: EJSCREEN Flag (Manual) (Select one)										
YES → If selected, proceed to EJ Table 2 & select the applicable "YES   Enhanced Review"										
*	response									
response	response									
EJ Table 2: Enhanced Review for Potential EJ Cond	cerns (	Select one)	)							
YES   Enhanced Review - Potential EJ Conce			→ If selected, proceed to EJ Table 3							
YES   Enhanced Review - Potential EJ Conce	rn Not	Found	→ If selected, proceed to EJ Table 3							
NO   No Enhanced Review → If you selected	l No in	Table 1	→ If selected, skip EJ Tables 3 & 4							
and you do not have any EJ concerns, select No	here. It	f you do								
have EJ concerns despite the No score from table	e 1, you	u can								
request an enhanced review based on your know	ledge c	of the								
facility/area										
EJ Table 3: Basis of EJ Determination (Select all tha	rt annl	, based on	Elympian than proceed to El Table 1)							
Community self-identification	и арріу	busea on	Es review then proceed to Es Tuble 4)							
	t chan	120								
	X EJSCREEN data > Pre-selected for R10; do not change									
	EPA knowledge of community/location (including inspector observation)									
Public input	Other federal government knowledge of community/location									
State/local/tribal government knowledge of com	munity	location	-							
State/local/tilbal government knowledge of conf	indincy	iocation								
EJ Table 4: Explanation of Basis for Potential EJ C	oncern	Finding (	(Select applicable "R10 Tier 1/2"							
response or "Exempt")										
1 /										
R10 Tier 1 Review: Potential EJ Concern		R10 Tier	r 2 Review: Potential EJ Concern							
Found		Found								
R10 Tier 1 Review: Potential EJ Concern		R10 Tier	r 2 Review: Potential EJ Concern Not							
Not Found		Found								
<b>Explanation:</b> For Tier 1 / 2 Review, paste the Explanation provided to you by the R10 EJ Coordinator										
into the text box below (ICIS is limited to 1,000 characters)										

Environmental Justice Indicators: CTRL+Click to follow this link-> R10 EJSCREEN

6/2014 Jiles\_CCDS\_RCRA UST